VZCZCXRO3992
RR RUEHAG RUEHBC RUEHDE RUEHDIR RUEHKUK RUEHROV
DE RUEHTC #0552 1781608
ZNY CCCCC ZZH
R 261608Z JUN 08
FM AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE
TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC 1674
INFO RUCNMEM/EU MEMBER STATES COLLECTIVE
RUCNIRA/IRAN COLLECTIVE
RUEHUNV/USMISSION UNVIE VIENNA 0161
RUCNDT/USMISSION USUN NEW YORK 4459

CONFIDENTIAL THE HAGUE 000552

SIPDIS

E.O. 12958: DECL: 06/23/2018
TAGS: <u>PREL KNNP MNUC PARM IR NL</u>

SUBJECT: NETHERLANDS/IRAN: SUPPORT FOR "ROBUST" RESPONSE

REF: A. SECSTATE 64530 ¶B. THE HAGUE 355

Classified By: Political Counselor Andrew C. Mann for reasons 1.5(b,d)

- 11. (C) SUMMARY: On June 24, poloff reviewed developments in Iran policy with Dutch MFA officials Loek ten Hagen, Iran desk officer, Huib Mijnarends, Senior Policy Officer in the Terrorism and New Threats Unit, and Ceta Noland, Senior Advisor for Nuclear Affairs and Nonproliferation. The Dutch continue to support a robust stance toward Iran at home, the EU, the IAEA, and the UN. The Dutch welcomed the June 20 briefing for the EU by NEA/IR acting director Dibble. END SUMMARY.
- 12. (C) Netherlands: Noland stated that FM Verhagen had approved a new visa policy for Iranian students to prevent access to nuclear weapons technology the policy will be publicized within 10 days. The approved regulations include list of secured facilities off limits to masters—level students from Iran, plus an extensive list of prohibited areas of study for masters—level students from Iran. (Note: This policy goes beyond the earlier draft regulation that was reported in reftel B. End Note.)
- 13. (C) EU / IAEA / UN: Mijnarends stated that the Dutch want the EU needs to start the debate on full implementation of UNSC resolution 1803, and that the Dutch support a "robust" implementation. Noland indicated that on the IAEA, the Dutch are disappointed the Board of Governors did not respond to the latest IAEA report. The Dutch hope for a resolution at the next Board meeting in September. Regarding the UN, Mijnarends said that the Dutch support a UNSC debate on Iran's response to the P5 1 package after the 30-day "deadline" proposed by Dibble during his June 20 briefing. More generally, Noland cited continuing efforts by Iran to access weapons technology as well as the long period of international effort to engage Iran. Mijnarends suggested that 30 days should be the maximum time allowed for Iran to Ten Hagen expected Iran to respond in a typical fashion with efforts to delay and divide the allied response. FM Verhagen would like to see a "swift and positive" response.

Gallagher